

CROWELL LAW
Carl D. Crowell, OSB No. 982049
email: carl@crowell-law.com
Drew P. Taylor, OSB No. 135974
email: drew@crowell-law.com
P.O. Box 923
Salem, OR 97308
(503) 581-1240
Of attorneys for plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF OREGON
PORTLAND DIVISION

**FATHERS & DAUGHTERS NEVADA,
LLC,**

Plaintiff,

v.

DOE-24.21.251.29,

Defendant.

Case No.: 3:16-cv-00925

COMPLAINT

COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

SUBJECT TO STANDING ORDER
No. 2016-8

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Fathers & Daughters Nevada, LLC, (“F&D”), complains and alleges as follows:

CONTINUING ACTION

1. This matter has been severed from the original action of Fathers & Daughters Nevada, LLC v. Does, 3:16-cv-00315-SI, pursuant to the Order of May 20, 2016, Ecf. 22.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This is a suit for copyright infringement under 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq. (“The Copyright Act”).

COMPLAINT

Page 1 of 10

3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
4. Venue in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1400(a) as the defendant is believed to reside in this district.

PARTIES

PLAINTIFF

5. Plaintiff F&D is a limited liability company with principal offices in Los Angeles, California.
6. F&D is owned by Voltage Films, a production company with a notable catalog of major motion pictures. (www.voltagepictures.com)

The Rights of F&D

7. *Fathers & Daughters* is a major motion picture released in 2015 about a Pulitzer-winning writer dealing with being a widower and father.
8. *Fathers & Daughters* has been registered with the United States Copyright Office by the owner, F&D, Registration No. PAu 3-762-811 (March 30, 2015), copy attached as Exhibit 1.
9. Under The Copyright Act, F&D is the proprietor of all copyrights and interests needed to bring suit.
10. The motion picture contains original material that is copyrightable subject matter under the laws of the United States.
11. The motion picture is currently offered for sale in commerce.
12. Defendant had notice of plaintiff's rights through general publication and advertising and more specifically as identified in the content of the motion picture, advertising associated with the motion picture, and packaging and copies, each of which bore a proper copyright notice.

13. F&D comes to court seeking relief as the motion picture *Fathers & Daughters* is the subject of significant piracy and has been illegally downloaded and distributed hundreds of thousands of times worldwide with many of confirmed instances of infringing activity traced to the State of Oregon.

THE DEFENDANT

14. The defendants identified herein as Doe-24.21.251.29 is currently known only by their Internet Protocol (“IP”) addresses 24.21.251.29 which was observed by plaintiff’s investigators, Maverickeye, as distributing plaintiff’s motion picture.

15. Through geolocation, the IP address used by the defendant has been traced to the State of Oregon and this judicial district.

16. The defendant’s IP addresses has been observed and confirmed as distributing plaintiff’s motion picture multiple times over multiple days.

17. The IP address of the defendant was observed associated with many other copyrighted titles being distributed through BitTorrent.

18. The activity associated with the defendant is consistent with that of a persistent and willful infringer that not only accesses and uses the BitTorrent network to obtain content such as plaintiff’s motion picture, but also willfully and intentionally uses the BitTorrent network to cause intentional harm through persistent distribution of content to third parties to deprive rights holders of their rights under the Copyright Act.

19. The volume and titles of the activity associated with the defendant’s IP address indicates that the defendant is likely the primary subscriber of the IP address or someone who resides with the subscriber as such activity indicates the defendant is an authorized user of the IP address with consistent and permissive access.

20. The volume of the activity associated with the defendant's IP address indicates that anyone actively using or observing activity on the IP address would likely be aware of the conduct of the defendant.

21. The volume and titles of the activity associated with the defendant's IP address indicates that the defendant is not a young child.

22. The defendant's IP addresses was at that time of observed infringement managed by Internet Service Provider ("ISP") Comcast, who on information and belief, generally assigns an IP address to a single party for extended periods of time, often for months and provides Wi-Fi systems with notable pre-installed security and passwords.

23. Comcast provides notices and information to its customers about the importance of security, informing them that they are each responsible for the activity associated with their account and cautioning subscribers against allowing third party or non-authorized access.

BACKGROUND

PEER-TO-PEER INTERNET PIRACY

Operation

24. BitTorrent, also known as peer-to-peer file sharing, has become one of the most common systems for users to illegally dispense huge amounts of data, including motion pictures, in digital format.

25. The BitTorrent protocol enables computers to exchange large files (such as motion pictures) without creating a heavy workload for any individual source/computer. It allows users to interact directly with each other to: (1) make files stored on a user's computer (e.g., motion

pictures) available for copying by other users; (2) search for files stored on other computers; and (3) transfer exact copies of files from one computer to another over the Internet.

26. The use of BitTorrent requires multiple intentional acts. A user must load specific software, use the software to join the network, search for a file, and then select the file they wish to download. As each user or peer joins the network and requests a copy of a file, they form a type of social contract to not only download the file, but to be a part of the network to allow the file to be downloaded by others. Each new peer requesting the file receives pieces of the data from each peer who already has downloaded the file, and then in turn makes that content available to others.

27. Since the BitTorrent protocol also generally limits a peer's ability to download unless he or she also uploads or shares, there is substantial incentive for each peer to remain online and continue to make files available for other peers to download because this will maximize his or her download speed and access to content.

28. The defendant in this action has been observed as persistently making plaintiff's motion picture available to others in furtherance of the BitTorrent network.

The Business of Piracy

29. Internet piracy, and in particular BitTorrent piracy, though known as peer-to-peer file sharing, is often a for-profit business as many software clients, torrent sites and networks generate millions of dollars in revenue through sales and advertising.

30. To increase the value of the advertising and sometimes subscription access sold by torrent sites, many parties work to expand the pool of available titles and speed of downloads available by increasing the number of member peers and thus the desirability of their clients and

networks. To accomplish this they often reward participants who contribute by giving them faster download speeds, greater access, or other benefits.

31. Defendant's participation in the BitTorrent exchange of plaintiff's motion picture is the type of activity that torrent sites use to promote their business and likely directly furthered the for-profit business of at least one torrent site.

32. Many parties, and possibly the defendant have been compensated for their participation in expanding the availability of pirated content to others through BitTorrent networks, including plaintiff's movie, even if only through being granted greater access to other pirated content.

33. The use of BitTorrent does more than cause harm through the simple theft of intellectual property. The BitTorrent distribution of pirated files is a model of business that profits from theft through sales and advertising and provides a system of rewards and compensation to the participants, each of whom contribute to and further the enterprise.

34. Based on activity observed associated with defendant's IP addresses, defendant is a prolific proponent of the BitTorrent distribution system advancing the BitTorrent economy of piracy.

Harm to Plaintiff and Others

35. Digital piracy, including BitTorrent piracy, costs the entertainment industry over \$80 billion per year.

36. As noted by Senator Levin in Congressional hearings on peer-to-peer Internet piracy, "In the world of copyright law, taking someone's intellectual property is a serious offense, punishable by large fines. In the real world, violations of copyright law over the Internet are so widespread and easy to accomplish that many participants seem to consider it equivalent to jaywalking – illegal but no big deal. But it is a big deal. Under U.S. law, stealing intellectual

property is just that – stealing. It hurts artists, the music industry, the movie industry, and others involved in creative work. And it is unfortunate that the software being used – called ‘file sharing’ as if it were simply enabling friends to share recipes, is helping create a generation of Americans who don’t see the harm.”

37. In 2013, in recognition of the growing problems and challenges with counterfeiting and piracy, The Oregon House of Representatives passed House Memorial 2, which made the following findings:

Whereas the United States and other nations share the challenge of combating intellectual piracy and the counterfeiting of intellectual property such as ... films... and technologies that affect the quality of life; and

Whereas intellectual piracy and counterfeiting have a significant impact on Oregon's economy, and the economies of other states and of nations around the world, which results in job and earnings losses, reduced tax revenues and increased threats to public health and safety; and

...

Whereas protecting and enforcing intellectual property rights is crucial to the future of our innovation-based economy; and

Whereas industries that use intellectual property extensively generate nearly \$7.7 trillion in gross output and account for more than 60 percent of total exports from our nation; and

Whereas industries that use intellectual property extensively ... employ more than 19 million Americans, whose salaries average about 60 percent higher than salaries in industries that do not make extensive use of intellectual property; and

Whereas intellectual property infringement can undermine the nation's economic security; and

Whereas violations of intellectual property rights, ambiguities in the law and a lack of enforcement create uncertainty in the marketplace and in the legal system and undermine consumer trust; and

Whereas intellectual property, including trademarks, [are] essential ...; and

...

Whereas failing to adequately protect and enforce intellectual property rights will increase counterfeiting and illicit trade;

...

38. The impact of piracy is far greater than the mere loss of a single sale or loss due to the distribution of one copy of a motion picture. Piracy undermines the economy, job base, and tax

base on which our citizens rely and promotes a general disregard for the respect of rights of others.

39. As such it is clear that giving effect to 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq., and the enforcement of intellectual property rights, and in particular the fight against counterfeiting and piracy are critical issues of importance to the both the United States of America and the State of Oregon.

CAUSE OF ACTION

(Federal Copyright Infringement)

40. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

41. Defendant, without the permission or consent of F&D, copied and distributed plaintiff's motion picture through a public BitTorrent network.

42. Defendant's actions infringed F&D's exclusive rights under The Copyright Act.

43. The conduct of the defendant has been willful, intentional, in disregard of and indifferent to F&D's rights with the intent to cause F&D harm.

44. As a direct and proximate result of the defendant's conduct, F&D's exclusive rights under 17 U.S.C. § 106 have been violated.

45. F&D is entitled to damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504 and attorney fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505.

46. The conduct of the defendant is causing and, unless enjoined and restrained by this Court, will continue to cause plaintiff great and irreparable injury.

47. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§ 502 and 503, F&D is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting the defendant from further contributing to the infringement of F&D's copyrights, the economy of

piracy, and ordering that defendant destroy all copies of the motion picture made in violation of F&D's rights.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for judgment against the defendant as follows:

- A. For entry of permanent injunction enjoining the defendant from direct, indirect or contributory infringement of plaintiff's rights, including without limitation by using the internet to reproduce or copy plaintiff's motion picture, to distribute plaintiff's motion picture, or to make plaintiff's motion picture available for distribution to the public, except pursuant to a lawful license or with the express authority of plaintiff. And further directing defendant to destroy all unauthorized copies of plaintiff's motion picture;
- B. For entry of permanent injunction enjoining the defendant from supporting the BitTorrent economy of piracy by enjoining the defendants from direct, indirect or contributory infringing file sharing in violation of U.S. copyright law.
- C. Statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504.
- D. For plaintiff's reasonable costs and attorney fees pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505; and
- E. For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

///

///

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

DATED: May 26, 2016.

Respectfully submitted,

CROWELL LAW

/s/ Drew P. Taylor

Carl D. Crowell, OSB No. 982049

carl@crowell-law.com

Drew P. Taylor, OSB No. 135974

drew@crowell-law.com

503-581-1240

Of attorneys for the plaintiff